

The client sample was analyzed for plant-based cannabinoids by Liquid Chromatography (LC). The collected data was compared to data collected for certified reference standards at known concentrations.

# 85966-CN

ID	Weight %	Concentration (mg/mL)			
D9-THC	0.0494	0.462			
THCV	ND	ND			
CBD	0.474	4.43			
CBDV	ND	ND			
CBG	0.0165	0.154			
CBC	0.0382	0.357			
CBN	ND	ND			
THCA	0.156	1.46	•		
CBDA	4.50	42.1			
CBGA	0.0940	0.879			
D8-THC	ND	ND			
exo-THC	ND	ND			
Total	5.32	49.8	0%	Cannabinoids (wt%)	4.5%
Max THC	0.186	1.74			
Max CBD	4.42	41.3			

# Ratio of Total CBD to THC 23.8:1

#### Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) = 0.0112 wt%

Max THC (and Max CBD) are calculated values for total cannabinoids after heating, assuming complete decarboxylation of the acid to the neutral form. It is calculated based on the weight loss of the acid group during decarboxylation: Max THC =  $(0.877 \times THCA) + THC$ . This calculation does not include other cannabinoid isomers (eg. D8-THC and exo-THC). ND = None detected above the limits of detection (LOD), which is one third of LOQ.

HM: Heavy Metal Analysis [WI-10-13]	Analyst: CJS	Test Date: 9/2/2020
-------------------------------------	--------------	---------------------

This test method was performed in accordance with the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025. These results relate only to the test article listed in this report. Reports may not be reproduced except in their entirety.

85966-HM				Use Lim	its <sup>2</sup> (µg/kg)	
Symbol	Metal	Conc. <sup>1</sup> ( $\mu$ g/kg)	RL	All	Ingestion	Status
As	Arsenic	ND	50.0	200	1,500	PASS
Cd	Cadmium	ND	50.0	200	500	PASS
Hg	Mercury	ND	50.0	100	1,500	PASS
Pb	Lead	ND	50.0	500	1,000	PASS

1) ND = None detected to Lowest Limits of Detection (LLD)

2) MA Dept. of Public Health: Protocol for MMJ and MIPS, Exhibit 4(a) for all products.

3) USP exposure limits based on daily oral dosing of 1g of concentrate for a 110 lb person.

MB1: Microbiological Contaminants [WI-10-09]	Analyst: MM	Test Date: 8/26/2020
--	-------------	----------------------

This test method was performed in accordance with the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025. These results relate only to the test article listed in this report. Reports may not be reproduced except in their entirety.

# 85966-MB1

Symbol	Analysis	Results	Units	Limits*	Status
AC	Total Aerobic Bacterial Count	<100	CFU/g	100,000 CFU/g	PASS
CC	Total Coliform Bacterial Count	<100	CFU/g	1,000 CFU/g	PASS
EB	Total Bile Tolerant Gram Negative Count	<100	CFU/g	1,000 CFU/g	PASS
YM	Total Yeast & Mold	<100	CFU/g	10,000 CFU/g	PASS

Recommended limits established by the American Herbal Pharmacopoeia (AHP) monograph for Cannabis Inflorescence [2013], for consumable botanical products, including processed and unprocessed cannabis materials, and solvent-based extracts. Note: All recorded Microbiological tests are within the established limits.

MB2: Pathogenic Bacterial Contaminants [WI-10-10]	Analyst: MM	Test Date: 8/27/2020
---	-------------	----------------------

This test method was performed in accordance with the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025. These results relate only to the test article listed in this report. Reports may not be reproduced except in their entirety.

#### 85966-MB2

Test ID	Analysis	Results	Units	Limits*	Status
85966-ECPT	E. coli (O157)	Negative	NA	Non Detected	PASS
85966-SPT	Salmonella	Negative	NA	Non Detected	PASS

Note: All recorded pathogenic bacteria tests passed.

Certificate ID: 85966

*Test Date: 9/1/2020* 

MY: Mycotoxin Testing [WI-10-05]	Analyst: AEG	Test Date: 9/2/2020
----------------------------------	--------------	---------------------

This test method was performed in accordance with the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025. These results relate only to the test article listed in this report. Reports may not be reproduced except in their entirety.

#### 85966-MY

Test ID	Date	Results	MDL	Limits	Status*
Total Aflatoxin	9/2/2020	< MDL	2 ppb	< 20 ppb	PASS
Total Ochratoxin	9/2/2020	< MDL	3 ppb	< 20 ppb	PASS

# **PST:** Pesticide Analysis [WI-10-11]

The client sample was anlayzed for pesticides using Liquid Chromatography with Mass Spectrometric detection (LC/MS/MS). The method used for sample prep was based on the European method for pesticide analysis (EN 15662).

Analyst: LCH

#### 85966-PST

Analyte	CAS	Result	Units	LLD	Limits (ppb)	Status
Abamectin	71751-41-2	ND	ppb	0.20	300	PASS
Spinosad	168316-95-8	ND	ppb	0.10	3000	PASS
Pyrethrin	8003-34-7	ND	ppb	0.10	1000	PASS
Trifloxystrobir	n 141517-21-7	ND	ppb	0.10	30000	PASS
Spirotetramat	203313-25-1	ND	ppb	0.10	13000	PASS
Spiromesifen	283594-90-1	ND	ppb	0.10	12000	PASS
Piperonyl butoxi	de 51-03-6	ND	ppb	0.10	8000	PASS
Paclobutrazol	76738-62-0	ND	ppb	0.10	10	PASS
Myclobutanil	88671-89-0	ND	ppb	0.10	9000	PASS
Imidacloprid	138261-41-3	ND	ppb	0.10	3000	PASS
Imazalil	35554-44-0	ND	ppb	0.10	10	PASS
Fenoxycarb	72490-01-8	ND	ppb	0.10	10	PASS
Etoxazole	153233-91-1	ND	ppb	0.10	1500	PASS
Dichlorvos	62-73-7	ND	ppb	3.00	10	PASS
Cyfluthrin	68359-37-5	ND	ppb	0.50	1000	PASS
Bifenthrin	82657-04-3	ND	ppb	0.20	500	PASS
Bifenazate	149877-41-8	ND	ppb	0.10	5000	PASS
Azoxystrobin	131860-33-8	ND	ppb	0.10	40000	PASS

\* Testing limits for ingestion established by the State of California: CCR, Title 16, Division 42, Chapter 5, Section 5313. ND indicates "none detected" above the lower limit of detection (LLD). Analytes marked with (\*) indicate analytes for which no recovery was observed for a pre-spiked matrix sample.

# TP: Terpenes Profile [WI-10-27]Analyst: CATest Date: 9/1/2020

Client sample analysis was performed using full evaporative technique (FET) headspace sample delivery and gas chromatographic (GC) compound separation. A combination of flame ionization detection (FID) and/or mass spectrometric (MS) detection with mass spectral confirmation against the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Mass Spectral Database, Revision 2017 were used. Chromatographic and/or mass spectral data were processed by quantitatively comparing the analytical peak areas against calibration curves prepared from certified reference standards.

#### 85966-TP

Compound	CAS	Conc. (wt%)	Conc. (ppm)	Qualitative Profile
alpha-pinene	80-56-8	0.0834	834	
camphene	79-92-5	0.0018	18.0	
sabinene*	3387-41-5	ND	ND	
beta-myrcene	123-35-3	0.341	3,410	
beta-pinene	127-91-3	0.0374	374	
alpha-phellandrene	99-83-2	ND	ND	
delta-3-carene	13466-78-9	0.0009	8.64	
alpha-terpinene	99-86-5	<rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td></td></rl<></td></rl<>	<rl< td=""><td></td></rl<>	
alpha-ocimene	502-99-8	0.0010	9.61	
D-limonene	138-86-3	0.0364	364	
p-cymene	99-87-6	ND	ND	
cis-beta-ocimene	3338-55-4	0.0198	198	
eucalyptol	470-82-6	0.0012	11.6	
gamma-terpinene	99-85-4	0.0007	6.95	
terpinolene	586-62-9	0.0105	105	
linalool	78-70-6	0.0069	68.5	
L-fenchone*	7787-20-4	ND	ND	
isopulegol	89-79-2	ND	ND	
menthol*	89-78-1	ND	ND	
geraniol	106-24-1	ND	ND	
beta-caryophyllene	87-44-5	0.0603	603	
alpha-humulene	6753-98-6	0.0148	148	
cis-nerolidol	3790-78-1	ND	ND	
trans-nerolidol	40716-66-3	ND	ND	
guaiol	489-86-1	0.0016	16.4	
caryophyllene oxide	1139-30-6	0.0017	17.2	
alpha-bisabolol	23089-26-1	0.0021	21.3	
			wt% 0	0.00 0.25 0.50
Total Terpene: 0.6 v	wt%			

\* Certified reference standard not available for this compound. Concentration is estimated using the response factor from alpha-pinene. ND = NoneDetected. RL = Reporting Limit of 5 ppm.

VC: Analysis of Volatile Organic Compounds [WI-10-28]	Analyst: CA	Test Date: 8/31/2020
---	-------------	----------------------

The client sample was analyzed by Head-Space Gas Chromatography (HS-GC). The collected data was compared to data collected for certified reference standards at known concentrations.

#### 85966-VC

Compound	CAS	Amount <sup>1</sup>	Limit <sup>2</sup>	RL	Status
Propane	74-98-6	ND	1,000 ppm	100	PASS
Isobutane	75-28-5	ND	1,000 ppm	100	PASS
Butane	106-97-8	ND	1,000 ppm	100	PASS
Methanol	67-56-1	ND	3,000 ppm	100	PASS
Pentane	109-66-0	ND	5,000 ppm	100	PASS
Ethanol	64-17-5	7,860 ppm	5,000 ppm	100	*
Acetone	67-64-1	ND	5,000 ppm	100	PASS
Isopropanol	67-63-0	ND	5,000 ppm	100	PASS
Acetonitrile	75-05-8	ND	410 ppm	100	PASS
Hexane	110-54-3	ND	290 ppm	100	PASS
Heptane	142-82-5	ND	5,000 ppm	100	PASS

1) ND = Not detected at a level greater than the Reporting Limit (RL).

2) In ppm, based on USP recommended limits for residual solvents, adopted by the Massachusetts Department of Public Health for cannabis concentrates and extracts on 3/31/16. Butane/Propane limits are based on limits established for state of Colorado.

(\*) For ethanol, as many formulations contain flavorings based on ethanol extracts of natural products, no status has been assigned.

# **END OF REPORT**